## Regression Analysis

- Regression analysis is a reliable method of identifying which variables have impact on a topic of interest. The process of performing a regression allows you to confidently determine which factors matter most, which factors can be ignored, and how these factors influence each other.
- In order to understand regression analysis fully, it is essential to comprehend the following terms:
- Dependent Variable: This is the main factor that you are trying to understand or predict.
- Independent Variables: These are the factors that you hypothesize have an impact on your dependent variable.
- Suppose we need to study the influence of your math and biology grades in high school on your first year university grades in math, physics, and chemistry. In this example, the independent variables are the high school math and biology grades, and the dependent variables are the university grades in math, physics, and chemistry.
- To answer the question, we need data. The data, in our example is obtained from the registrar's office. In other cases, it is collected through surveys. The data, thus collected, is called a random sample. The random sample is analyzed and conclusions drawn are generalized on the population.
- Some other examples involving two variables are
- The weight of a newly born child and the age of pregnancy
- The sell of ice-cream and the weather temperature
- Your GPA and study hours per week.
- Cholesterol levels and heart attacks
- Gas prices and distances traveled by drivers.
- In this lecture, we consider only two variables; $X$ the independent and $Y$ the dependent.


## Basic Definitions and Terminology

- First, let us introduce some basic definitions about the random sample

The sample mean $\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is defined as $\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$

- The sample variance $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$, when the population mean $\mu$ is unknown is defined as:


Positive Correlation


Negative Correlation


## Linear Regression

Suppose in a certain experiment we take measurements in pairs, i.e. $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)_{\min }\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$. We suspect that the data can fit a straight line of the form $y=\alpha x+\beta$.
Suppose that the line is to be fitted to the (n) points and let $(\epsilon)$ denote the sum of the squares of the vertical distances at the $(\mathrm{n})$ points, then

$$
\epsilon=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[y_{i}-\left(\alpha x_{i}+\beta\right)\right]^{2}
$$

The method of least squares specifies the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ that minimize $\in$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \alpha}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\alpha x_{i}-\beta\right) x_{i}=0 \Rightarrow \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} \\
& \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \beta}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\alpha x_{i}-\beta\right)=0 \quad \Rightarrow n \beta+\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

In matrix form, these equations are:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & \sum x_{i} \\
\sum x_{i} & \sum x_{i}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\beta}{\alpha}=\binom{\sum y_{i}}{\sum x_{i} y_{i}} ; \text { These two equations are called the normal equations. }
$$

Solving the above two equations for the two unknowns, we get:

$$
\alpha=\frac{C_{X Y}}{\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}=\frac{1}{(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)\left(y_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{Y}\right), \quad \beta=\hat{\mu}_{Y}-\alpha \hat{\mu}_{X}
$$

where $C_{X I}$, is the sample covariance between x and $\mathrm{y}, \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}$ is the sample variance of the X measurements (as defined earlier), $\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is the average value of the X measurements, and $\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is the average value of the Y measurements.
Finally, the sample correlation coefficient can be calculated as $\rho_{X, Y}=\frac{C_{X Y}}{\hat{\sigma}_{X} \hat{\sigma}_{Y}}$

| $x_{i}$ | $\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}$ | $y_{i}$ | $x_{i} y_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}$ | $y_{1}$ | $x_{1} y_{1}$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}$ | $y_{2}$ | $x_{2} y_{2}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $(3)^{2}$ | 3 | $x_{3} y_{3}$ |


| $x_{n}$ | $\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}$ | $y_{n}$ | $x_{n} y_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum x_{i}$ | $\sum\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}$ | $\sum y_{i} \sum x_{i} y_{i}$ |  |

## Fitting a Polynomial by the Method of Least Squares:

- Suppose now that instead of simply fitting a straight line to (n) plotted points, we wish to fit a polynomial of the form:

$$
y=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} x+\beta_{3} x^{2}
$$

- The method of least squares specifies the constants $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$ so that the sum of the squares of errors $\in$ is minimized.

$$
\epsilon=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[y_{i}-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{i}+\beta_{3} x_{i}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

- Taking partial derivatives of $\in$ with respect to $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$, setting the derivative to zero and solving, we get the following set of normal equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1} \mathrm{n}+\beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+\beta_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}  \tag{1}\\
& \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+\beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}+\beta_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}  \tag{2}\\
& \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{3}+\beta_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1}^{4}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} y_{i} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

- In matrix form, these equations are:
$y=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} x+\beta_{3} x^{2}$


$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{n} & \sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} & \sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \\
\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} & \sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} & \sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{3} \\
\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} & \sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{3} & \sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{4}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{1} \\
\beta_{2} \\
\beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Then these equations can be solved, simultaneously for $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$.


## Fitting an Exponential by the Method of Least Squares:

- Suppose that we suspect the data to fit an exponential equation of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a e^{b x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Taking the natural logarithm of (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln (y) & =\ln (a)+\ln \left(e^{b x}\right) \\
\ln (y) & =\ln (a)+b x \\
y^{\prime} & =\beta^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime} x
\end{aligned}
$$



- As we can see, equation (2) has the same form of the linear regression considered earlier where $y=\beta+\alpha x$. Hence, the solution involves the following steps
- Take the natural logarithm of each measurement $y_{i}$.
- The new pairs of the data now become $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ln y_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \ln y_{2}\right)_{2} \ldots .\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \ln y_{n}\right)$.
- Solve this regression model for $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$.
- $\beta^{\prime}=\ln (a) \Rightarrow a=e^{\beta^{\prime}}$
- $\quad \alpha^{\prime}=b$


## More Regression Models

EXAMPLE: Suppose that the polynomial to be fitted to a set of ( n ) points is $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{x}$. It can be shown that:

$$
b=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}
$$

## EXAMPLE: Let $y=a x^{b}$.

Taking the $\ln$ of both sides, we get $\ln y=\ln a+b \ln x$, hence transformed into the linear model $y^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime} x^{\prime} \quad$ (Linear regression)
where: $y^{\prime}=\ln y, \beta^{\prime}=\ln \mathrm{a}, \alpha^{\prime}=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\ln \mathrm{x}$

EXAMPLE: Let $y=1-e^{\frac{-x^{b}}{a}}$
Manipulation of this equation yields: $\ln \left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{1-y}\right)\right]=-\ln a+b \ln x$
which is in the standard form: $y^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime} x^{\prime} \quad$ (Linear regression)
EXAMPLE: Let $\mathrm{y}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}}}$.
$\ln \left(\frac{L-y}{y}\right)=a+b x$, which is in the standard linear form: $y^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime} x^{\prime} \quad$ (Linear regression)

EXAMPLE: The cumulative number of coronavirus cases recorded in a certain city over a 10-day period is shlown in the table.
a. Assuming that a simple linear regression model is appropriate, fit the regression model relating the number of coronavirus cases (y) to the time in days ( x ).
b. What is the expected number of cases $y$ on the 20 'th day?
c. Find the correlation coefficient between x and y .

Solution:

a. The linear regression model to be fit is $y=\beta+\alpha x$

Here, $\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_{i}=55, \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i}^{2}=385, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i} y_{i}=6498, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} y_{i}=1037$| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\begin{array}{c}115 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ \mathbf{9} \\ 10\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  |
| 134 |  |
| 161 |  |

The equation parameters are given by: $\alpha=9.6303, \beta=50.7333 . \mathrm{y}=50.7333+9.6303 \mathrm{x}$
b. After 20 days, the linear model predicts a number at: $\mathrm{y}=50.7333+9.6303 \mathrm{x}(20)=244$.
c. The correlation coefficient between the x and y data is

$$
\rho_{X, Y}=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{n(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X} \hat{\sigma}_{Y}}=0.977 .
$$

EXAMPLE: The number of coronavirus cases recorded in a certain city over a 10-day period is shown in the table below.
a. Fit the regression model relating the number of coronavirus cases $(y)$ as a function of time in days ( x ) using a linear, a quadratic, and an exponential model.
b. What is the expected number of cases $y$ on the 20 'th day using each model?
c. Use each one of the model to predict the number of cases on day 10 .

## Solution:

a. The linear regression model to be fit is $y=\alpha x+\beta=131.9697 x+388.2667$

The quadratic model is: $y=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} x+\beta_{3} x^{2}=522.8500+64.6780 x+6.1174 x^{2}$
The exponential model is: $y=a e^{b x}=533.779 e^{0.122645 x}$
b. After 20 days,
the linear model prediction: $y=131.9697(20)+388.2667=3028$
the quadratic model prediction: $y=522.8500+64.6780(20)+6.1174(20)^{2}=4260$
the exponential model prediction: $y=a e^{b x}=533.779 e^{0.122645(20)}=6203$
c. On day 10,
the linear model prediction: $y=131.9697(10)+388.2667=1707$
the quadratic model prediction: $y=522.8500+64.6780(10)+6.1174(10)^{2}=1780$ the exponential model prediction: $y=533.779 e^{0.122645(10)}=1820$



EXAMPLE: The number of pounds of steam used per month by a chemical plant is thought to be related to the average ambient temperature (in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) for that month. The past year's usage and temperature are shown in the following table

| Month | Temp. | Usage | Month | Temp. | Usage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jan. | 21 | 185 | July | 68 | 621 |
| Feb. | 24 | 214 | Aug. | 74 | 675 |
| Mar. | 32 | 288 | Sept. | 62 | 562 |
| Apr. | 47 | 424 | Oct. | 50 | 452 |
| May | 50 | 454 | Nov. | 41 | 373 |
| June | 59 | 539 | Dec. | 30 | 273 |

a. Assuming that a simple linear regression model is appropriate, fit the regression model relating steam usage ( y ) to the average temperature ( x ).
b. What is the expected usage when the average temperature is 55 F
c. Find the correlation coefficient between $x$ and $y$.


## Solution:

a. The linear regression model to be fit is $y=\alpha x+\beta \mid$

$$
\text { Here, } \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i}=558, \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i}^{2}=29256, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i} y_{i}=265771, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} y_{i}=5060
$$

The equation parameters are given by: $\alpha=9.2182, \beta=-7.3126$. The minimum value of the mean square error calculated using. $\mathrm{MMSE}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[y_{i}-\left(\alpha x_{i}+\beta\right)\right]^{2}=38.1315$.
b. when the temperature is $55 \mathrm{~F}^{\circ}$, the linear model predicts a usage of $\mathrm{y}=9.2182 * 55-7.3126$ $=499.69$. (Note that this temperature is not one of those that appear in the table, yet the model can predict the usage at this temperature).
c. The correlation coefficient between the x and y data is:
$\rho_{X, Y}=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{n(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X} \hat{\sigma}_{Y}}=0.9999$. This is very close to 1 meaning that the data are
highly correlated (we know that when y is linearly related to $\mathrm{x}, \rho_{X, Y}=1$

## Jointly Gaussian Random Variables

Theorem: Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ be two jointly Gaussian random variables. Define a linear transformation of the form $\begin{aligned} & Y_{1}=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2} \\ & Y_{2}=b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}\end{aligned}$. The new random variables $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are jointly Gaussian.

Proof: The joint pdf of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ can be determined as (discussed in the previous chapter)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y 1, \mathrm{y} 2}\left(y_{1}, y\right)=\frac{f_{\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{|J|} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\quad \mathrm{J}=\left|\frac{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{1}} & \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{2}} \\ \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{1}} & \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{2}}\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & a_{2} \\ b_{1} & b_{2}\end{array}\right| ;,|J|>0$
Since $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ are jointly Gaussian, and since J is a constant $(\mathrm{J}>0)$, then from (1), $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are jointly Gaussian. The marginal pdf's are evaluated from the joint pdf

$$
f_{\mathrm{y} 1}\left(y_{1}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{y} 2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{2} \quad f_{\mathrm{y} 2}\left(y_{2}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{y} 2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{1}
$$

These marginal pdf's are Gaussian
Therefore, any linear combination of Gaussian random variables is Gaussian.

## Linear Transformation of a Single Gaussian Random Variable

EXAMPLE: The profit Y of a manufacturing plant is related to the demand X by the relationship $Y=a X+b$. Let X be a Gaussian r.v with mean $\mu_{X}$ variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$. Find $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{y})$.
SOLUTION: $Y=a X+b$ is a monotonic function.

$$
f_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{y})=\frac{f_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{x})}{|\mathrm{dy} / \mathrm{dx}|} ; \quad\left|\frac{d y}{d x}\right|=|a| ; \left.\quad x=\frac{y-b}{a} \right\rvert\,
$$

$f_{Y}(y)=\frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{X}^{2}}} e^{\frac{-\left(x-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(a \sigma_{X}\right)^{2}}} e^{\frac{-\left(\frac{y-b}{a}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}}$

$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(a \sigma_{X}\right)^{2}}} e^{\frac{-\left(y-\left(b+a \mu_{X}\right)\right)^{2}}{2\left(a \sigma_{X}\right)^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{Y}^{2}}} e^{\frac{-\left(y-\mu_{Y}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{Y}^{2}}}
$$

Therefore, $Y=a X+b$ is Gaussian with mean $\mu_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{a} \mu_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{b}$ and variance $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}^{2}=\mathrm{a}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$
Result: A linear transformation of a Gaussian random variable is also Gaussian

Result: If $Y=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}$, then $f_{Y}(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{Y}^{2}}} e^{\frac{-\left(y-\mu_{y}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{Y}^{2}}} ;\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}\right.$ jointly Gaussian $)$

Where, $\mu_{Y}=a_{1} \mu_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mu_{2}$

$$
\sigma_{Y}^{2}=a_{1}^{2} \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} \sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2} \sigma_{X_{1}} \sigma_{X_{2}} \rho_{X_{1} X_{2}}
$$

When the random variables are uncorrelated or independent, the second term becomes zero.

Proof: Here, we re-derive the variance of $Y$, considered in an earlier lecture

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \mu_{Y}=a_{1} \mu_{X_{1}}+a_{2} \mu_{X_{2}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\sigma_{Y}^{2} & =E\left\{\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)^{2}\right\}=E\left\{\left(a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}-a_{1} \mu_{X_{1}}-a_{2} \mu_{X_{2}}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& =E\left\{\left[a_{1}\left(X_{1}-\mu_{X_{1}}\right)+a_{2}\left(X_{2}-\mu_{X_{2}}\right)\right]^{2}\right\} \\
& =E\left\{a_{1}^{2}\left(X_{1}-\mu_{X_{1}}\right)^{2}\right\}+E\left\{a_{2}^{2}\left(X_{2}-\mu_{X_{2}}\right)^{2}\right\}+2 a_{1} a_{2} E\left\{\left(X_{1}-\mu_{X_{1}}\right)\left(X_{2}-\mu_{X_{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned} \\
& \Rightarrow \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}^{2}=\mathrm{a}_{1}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{2}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{2}}^{2}+2 \mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{a}_{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{2}} \rho_{\mathrm{X}_{1} X_{2}}
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { Where, } \rho_{X_{1} X_{2}}=\frac{E\left\{\left(X_{1}-\mu_{X_{1}}\right)\left(\left(X_{2}-\mu_{X_{2}}\right)\right)\right\}}{\sigma_{X_{1}} \sigma_{X_{2}}} \text { is the correlation coefficient. }
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar result can be obtained when $Y$ is a linear function of more than two Gaussian random variables.

Remark: For a Gaussian random variable X with mean $\mu_{X}$ and variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$, we recall the following two results when evaluating probabilities:

$$
P\left(X \leq x_{0}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{x_{0}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right), \quad P\left(x_{0} \leq X \leq x_{1}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{x_{1}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{x_{0}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)
$$

EXAMPLE: Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two Gaussian random variables such that: $\mu_{1}=0, \sigma_{1}^{2}=4$, $\mu_{2}=10, \sigma_{2}^{2}=9, \rho_{1,2}=0.25$. Define $\mathrm{Y}=2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \mathrm{X}_{2}$
a. Find the mean and variance of Y
b. Find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y} \leq 35)$.

## SOLUTION:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{Y}=2 \mu_{1}+3 \mu_{2}=2(0)+3(10)=30 \\
& \sigma_{Y}^{2}=4 \sigma_{1}^{2}+9 \sigma_{2}^{2}+2(2)(3)\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \rho_{1,2} \\
&=4(4)+9(9)+2(2)(3)(2)(3)(0.25)=115 \\
& P(Y<35)=\Phi\left(\frac{35-30}{\sqrt{115}}\right)=\Phi(0.466)=0.6794
\end{aligned}
$$



Theorem: Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables, each with mean $\mu_{i}$ and variance $\sigma_{i}^{2}$. Define

$$
Y=C_{1} X_{1}+C_{2} X_{2}+\cdots+C_{n} X_{n}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{n} \text { are constants }
$$

Then Y has a Gaussian distribution with mean and variance given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{Y}=C_{1} \mu_{1}+C_{2} \mu_{2}+\ldots \ldots \ldots+C_{n} \mu_{n} \\
& \sigma_{Y}^{2}=C_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+C_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+\ldots+C_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Y=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}
$$

Recall that when the random variables are independent, then they are uncorrelated. Meaning that the correlation coefficients are zero.

$$
\sigma_{Y}^{2}=a_{1}^{2} \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} \sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}+2 a_{1} a_{2} \sigma_{X_{1}} \sigma_{X 2} \rho_{X_{1} X_{2}}
$$

EXAMPLE: Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ be two independent Gaussian random variables such that: $\mu_{1}=0$, $\sigma_{1}^{2}=4, \mu_{2}=10, \sigma_{2}^{2}=9$. Define $Y=2 X_{1}+3 X_{2}$
c. Find the mean and variance of Y
d. Find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y} \leq 35)$.

## SOLUTION:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{Y}=2 \mu_{1}+3 \mu_{2}=2(0)+3(10)=30 \\
& \sigma_{Y}^{2}=4 \sigma_{1}^{2}+9 \sigma_{2}^{2}=4(4)+9(9)=97 \\
& P(Y<35)=\Phi\left(\frac{35-30}{\sqrt{97}}\right)=\Phi(0.5077)=0.6942
\end{aligned}
$$



Theorem: Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables, each with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ (iid). Define the sample mean (sample average) as $\hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ Then, $\hat{\mu}$ has a Gaussian distribution with mean and variance given by:

$$
E(\hat{\mu})=\mu, \quad \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu})=\sigma^{2} / \mathrm{n} .
$$

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

Proof: Rewrite $\hat{\mu}$ in the form:

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} X_{1}+\frac{1}{n} X_{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n} X_{n}
$$

which has the form: $Y=C_{1} X_{1}+C_{2} X_{2}+\cdots+C_{n} X_{n}$, where $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are iid Gaussian r.v's. The mean and variance of $\widehat{\mu}$ are:

$$
E(\hat{\mu})=\frac{1}{n} \mu+\frac{1}{n} \mu+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \mu=\mu
$$

$$
\sigma_{Y}^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}+\ldots+\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}
$$

Lemma: If $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables, each with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, then $Z=\frac{\hat{\mu}-\mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$ is the standard Gaussian variable with mean zero and variance one.

EXAMPLE: The weights of cement bags are normally distributed with a mean of (50) kg and a standard deviation of 2 kg .
a. What is the probability that one randomly selected cement bag will weigh more than 51 kg ?
b. What is the probability that 5 randomly selected cement bags will have a mean weight of more than 51 kg
c. Find $n$, such that the probability that the mean weight of $n$ randomly selected cement bags be larger than 51 kg is less than 0.01 .

## SOLUTION:

a. $\quad P(X>51)=1-\Phi\left(\frac{51-50}{2}\right)=1-\Phi(0.5)=1-0.6915=0.3085$

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

b. Sample average: $\hat{\mu}=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots X_{5}\right) / 5$; is a random variable with mean and variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(\hat{\mu})=\frac{1}{n} \mu+\frac{1}{n} \mu+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \mu=\mu=50, \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu})=\sigma^{2} / n=(2)^{2} / 5=0.8 \\
& P(\hat{\mu}>51)=1-\Phi\left(\frac{51-50}{\sqrt{0.8}}\right)=1-\Phi(1.118)=1-0.8682=0.1318
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\hat{\mu}=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots X_{n}\right) / \mathrm{n}$ is a random variable with mean and variance
$E(\hat{\mu})=\frac{1}{n} \mu+\frac{1}{n} \mu+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \mu=\mu=50, \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu})=\sigma^{2} / n=(2)^{2} / n=4 / n$


Need to find n such that $P(\hat{\mu}>51)=1-\Phi\left(\frac{51-50}{\sqrt{4 / n}}\right)<0.01 \Rightarrow \Phi\left(\frac{51-50}{\sqrt{4 / n}}\right)>0.99$

$$
\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})=\mu
$$

From the Tables, we get $\Phi(u)=0.99 \Rightarrow u=2.3263=\frac{51-50}{\sqrt{4 / n}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{n} \geq 22$

EXAMPLE: The monthly rent of a two-bedroom apartment in the city of Ramallah is a random variable, X, that follows the Gaussian distribution with a mean of $\$ 600$ and standard deviation of $\$ 50$. The monthly rent of a similar apartment in the neighbouring city of Al-Birah is also a random variable, Y that follows the Gaussian distribution with a mean of \$ 500 and a standard deviation of $\$ 80$. If the number of available rental apartments in Al-Birah is double than that in Ramallah. Find the probability of renting an apartment with a rent less than \$540.

## SOLUTION:

Let R be the monthly rent (irrespective of the city), then

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{P}(\text { selecting Ramallah })(\mathrm{R} \mid \text { Ramallah })+\mathrm{P}(\text { selecting Birah })(\mathrm{R} \mid \text { Birah }) \Rightarrow R=\frac{1}{3} X+\frac{2}{3} Y .
$$

R is a Gaussian random variable with mean and variance

$$
\mu_{R}=\frac{1}{3} \mu_{X}+\frac{2}{3} \mu_{Y}=\frac{1}{3}(600)+\frac{2}{3}(500)=\$ 533.33
$$

$$
\sigma_{R}^{2}=\frac{1}{9} \sigma_{X}^{2}+\frac{4}{9} \sigma_{Y}^{2}=\frac{1}{9}(50)^{2}+\frac{4}{9}(80)^{2}=3122.22 \Rightarrow \sigma_{R}=\$ 55.87
$$

$$
P(\mathrm{R}<540)=\Phi\left(\frac{540-\mu_{R}}{\sigma_{R}}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{540-533.33}{55.87}\right)=\Phi(0.1193)=0.5475
$$



EXAMPLE: Soft-drink cans are filled by an automated filling machine. The mean fill volume is 330 ml and the standard deviation is 1.5 ml . Assume that the fill volumes of the cans are independent Gaussian random variables. What is the probability that the average volume of 10 cans selected at random from this process is less than 328 ml .

SOLUTION: Average fill Volume: $\hat{\mu}=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots X_{n}\right) / n$; this quantity is a random variable.
Mean and variance of $\hat{\mu}$

$$
E(\hat{\mu})=\frac{1}{n} \mu+\frac{1}{n} \mu+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \mu=\mu \quad \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu})=\sigma^{2} / n=(1.5)^{2} / 10=0.225
$$

The random variable $\hat{\mu}$ is Gaussian with mean 330 and variance 0.225 .

$$
P(\hat{\mu}<328)=\Phi\left(\frac{328-330}{\sqrt{0.225}}\right)=\Phi(-4.21)=1.27 * 10^{-5}
$$

## The Central Limit Theorem

## Main result from previous lecture.

Theorem: Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables, each with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ (iid). Define the sample mean (sample average) as

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}
$$

Then, $\hat{\mu}$ has a Gaussian distribution with mean and variance given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(\hat{\mu})=\mu \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu})=\sigma^{2} / \mathrm{n} \\
& \qquad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\boldsymbol{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{X}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\mathbf{2}} / \boldsymbol{n}\right), \text { for any } \mathbf{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Central Limit Theorem:

Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be a sequence of independent random variables, each with mean $\mu_{x}$ and variance $\sigma_{x}^{2}$, then the sample mean defined as: $\widehat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ approaches a normal distribution as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, with mean and variance given by: $E\{\hat{\mu}\}=\mu_{x}, \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu})=\sigma_{x}^{2} / \mathrm{n}$. That is, the limiting form of the distribution of: $Z=\frac{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is the standard normal distribution.

- In many cases of practical interest, if $\mathrm{n} \geq 30$, the normal approximation will be satisfactory regardless of the shape of the population or the nature of the distribution (discrete or continuous).
- The theorem works well even for small samples $\mathrm{n}=4, \mathrm{n}=5$, when the population has a continuous distribution as illustrated in the following example.

$$
\widehat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \rightarrow N\left(\mu_{X}, \sigma_{X}^{2} / n\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

EXAMPLE: Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{n}$ be three independent uniform random variables over the interval ( 0,1 ). Find and sketch the pdf of $Y=X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}$.
SOLUTION: First, we find the pdf of $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}$ by convolving the pdf of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ with that for $\mathrm{X}_{2}$. Then, we convolve the pdf of Z with that of $\mathrm{X}_{3}$. The result is:
$f_{Y}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc|l|}0 & y \leq 0 & \text { Mean and Variance of } \mathrm{Y}: Y=X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3} \\ y^{2} / 2 & 0<y \leq 1 & \text { The mean Y is: } \mu_{Y}=3 E(X)=3\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)=3\left(\frac{0+1}{2}\right)=\frac{3}{2}, \\ 3 y-y^{2}-3 / 2 & 1<y \leq 2 \\ (3-y)^{2} / 2 & 2<y \leq 3 \\ 0 & y>3 & \operatorname{Var}(Y)=\sigma_{Y}^{2}=3\left(\sigma_{x}\right)^{2}=3 \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{12}=3 \frac{(1-0)^{2}}{12}=\frac{3}{12}\end{array}\right.$




In the figure below we plot the pdf's of $X_{1}, Z=X_{1}+X_{2}$ and $Y=X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}$. In addition, for the sake of comparison, we plot the pdf of a Gaussian distribution with the same mean $3 / 2$ and variance $3 / 12$ as that of Y . It is very clear that even for $\mathrm{n}=3, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ is very close to the Gaussian curve. Now let us calculate $\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{Y} \leq 1)$ using the exact formula and the approximation.

$$
\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{Y} \leq 1)=\int_{0}^{1} y^{2} / 2 d y=0.1666 ; \text { Exact probability } \quad \frac{P\left(0 \leq Y^{\prime} \leq 1\right)}{P(0 \leq Y \leq 1)}=\frac{0.1574}{0.1666}=94.44 \%
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(0 \leq \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \leq 1\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{1-1.5}{\sqrt{0.25}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{0-1.5}{\sqrt{0.25}}\right)=0.1587-0.0013=0.1574 . ; \text { Gaussian approximation }
$$

$f_{Y}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}0 & y \leq 0 \\ y^{2} / 2 & 0<y \leq 1 \\ 3 y-y^{2}-3 / 2 & 1<y \leq 2 \\ (3-y)^{2} / 2 & 2<y \leq 3 \\ 0 & y>3\end{array}\right.$



Remark: For a Gaussian random variable X with mean $\mu_{X}$ and variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$, we recall the following two results when evaluating probabilities:

$$
P\left(X \leq x_{0}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{x_{0}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right), \quad P\left(x_{0} \leq X \leq x_{1}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{x_{1}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{x_{0}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)
$$




EXAMPLE: An electronic company manufactures resistors that have a mean resistance of $100 \Omega$ and a standard deviation of $10 \Omega$. Find the probability that a random sample of $\mathrm{n}=25$ resistors will have an average resistance less than $95 \Omega$.

## SOLUTION:

With $\mathrm{n}=25$, we can approximate the sample mean $\hat{\mu}=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots X_{25}\right) / 25$ by a normal distribution with:

Mean: $E\{\hat{\mu}\}=(\mu+\mu+\ldots \mu) / 25=\mu=100$
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{n}=\frac{10^{2}}{25}=4 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{X}=2$

$P\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}<95\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{95-100}{2}\right)=\Phi(-2.5)=0.00621 \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\boldsymbol{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{X}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{X}^{2} / \boldsymbol{n}\right)$ as $\boldsymbol{n} \rightarrow \infty$
Remark: Note that in this example, the distribution of the manufactured resistors is not known; only the mean and variance are known.

EXAMPLE: Suppose that the random variable X has a uniform distribution over the interval $0 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 1$. A random sample of size 30 is drawn from this distribution.
a. Find the probability distribution of the sample mean $\hat{\mu}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) / n$
b. Find $P\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)<0.52$.

SOLUTION: Since X has a continuous uniform distribution, and since $\mathrm{n}=30$, then the probability density function of the sample mean $\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is approximately normal with:

The Uniform Distribution
$\frac{\square}{a}$

Mean $=(a+b) / 2$
$\operatorname{Var}=(b-a)^{\wedge} 2 / 12$

Mean: $E\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=E(X)=\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)=\left(\frac{0+1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$,
$\widehat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \rightarrow N\left(\mu_{X}, \sigma_{X}^{2} / n\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{n}=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{(12) n}=\frac{(1-0)^{2}}{(12)(30)}=\frac{1}{360} \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{X}=\sqrt{1 / 360}=0.0527$
$P\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}<0.52\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{0.52-\mu_{X}}{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{0.52-0.5}{0.0527}\right)=\Phi(0.379)=0.648027$
Remark: Note that in this example, the distribution of the sampled data is known. From the distribution, we can determine its mean and variance.

EXAMPLE: Suppose that X is a discrete distribution, which assumes the two values 1 and 0 with equal probability. A random sample of size 50 is drawn from this distribution.
a. Find the probability distribution of the sample mean $\hat{\mu}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) / n$

$$
\text { b. Find } P\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)<0.6 . \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Binomial with parameters }
\end{aligned}
$$

SOLUTION: Since $\mathrm{n}=50(>30)$, then the probability density function of the sample mean $\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is approximately normal with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { is approximately normal with: } \\
& E\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\mu_{X}=0(1 / 2)+(1)(1 / 2)=1 / 2 \left\lvert\, \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\boldsymbol{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{X}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{X}^{2} / \boldsymbol{n}\right) \boldsymbol{a s} \boldsymbol{n} \rightarrow \infty\right. \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{n}=\frac{(0-1 / 2)^{2}(1 / 2)+(1-1 / 2)^{2}(1 / 2)}{50}=\frac{1}{200} \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{X}=\sqrt{1 / 200}=0.0707 \\
& P\left(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}<0.6\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{0.6-\mu_{X}}{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{0.6-0.5}{0.0707}\right)=\Phi(1.414)=0.92073
\end{aligned}
$$

SOLUTION: Note that in this example, X has a discrete distribution. However, since n is large, we have used the continuous Gaussian distribution to approximate the distribution of the discrete variable $\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}$.

Normal Approximation of the Binomial Distribution

The Binomial with parameters $\mathrm{n}=50$ and $p=1 / 2$ along with the Gaussian distribution with same parameter.


EXAMPLE: The lifetime of a special type of chargeable battery is a random variable with mean 40 hours and standard deviation 20 hours. A battery is used until it fails, then it is replaced by a newly charged one. Assume we have 25 such battery replacements, the lifetime of which are independent. Approximate the probability that at least 1100 hours of use can be obtained.

SOLUTION: Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2} \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{25}$ be the lifetimes of the batteries.
Let $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \ldots+\mathrm{X}_{25}$ be the overall lifetime of the system
Since $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are independent, then Y will be approximately normal with mean and variance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{Y}=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\ldots+\mu_{25}=25 \mu=(25)(40)=1000 \\
& \sigma_{Y}^{2}=\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}+\ldots+\sigma_{25}^{2}=25 \sigma_{X}^{2}=(25)\left[(20)^{2}\right]=10000 \\
& P(Y>1100)=1-P(Y \leq 1100)=1-\Phi\left(\frac{1100-\mu_{Y}}{\hat{\sigma}_{Y}}\right) \\
& =1-\Phi\left(\frac{1100-1000}{\sqrt{10000}}\right)=1-\Phi(1)=0.158655
\end{aligned}
$$
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| X 1 | X 2 | X 3 |  | X25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Estimation of Parameters

- The field of statistical inference consists of those methods used to make decisions or to draw conclusions about a population. These methods utilize the information contained in a random sample taken from a population in drawing conclusions.
- The population consists of all the conceivable items, observations, or measurements in a group. In most cases, it is not practical to obtain all the measurements in a given population (eligible voters, the unemployed, people below poverty line, Birzeit University students, high school teachers, ...)
- For example, suppose we need to find the average height and standard deviation of the university male and female students. The population here is all university students. It is evident that to get exact results, we need to take the height of all students and compute the average and the standard deviation (these are the population parameters).
- In practice, a random sample of size n is drawn from the university population. The heights of the selected students are taken, and then the mean and standard deviation of the sample are calculated. The sample mean and standard deviation are used to describe the actual mean and standard deviation
- Estimates of population parameters derived from a subset of the measurements in a sample drawn from the underlying population are called sample statistics



## Estimation of Parameters

- Statistical inference may be divided into two major areas: Parameter estimation and hypotheses testing.
- In this chapter, we focus on parameter estimation and consider hypothesis testing in the next chapter.
- For populations, we define numbers called parameters that characterize important properties of the distributions, like the mean and standard deviation of a normal distribution, the probability of success $p$ in the binomial distribution, the rate of arrival in the Poisson process, and the end points $a$ and $b$ of the uniform distribution.
- Estimation represents ways or processes of learning and determining the population parameter based on the model fitted to the data.
- Point estimation, interval estimation, and hypothesis

$$
P\left(\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}\right) \geq 1-\alpha ; 0<\alpha<1
$$ testing are three main ways of learning about the population parameter from the sample statistics.

Population with a pdf $f_{X}\left(x, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$

Random sample of size n $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{n}$
$\widehat{\theta_{1}}=f\left(X_{1}, X, X, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$
$\widehat{\theta_{2}}=g\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$


## Estimation of Parameters

- In point estimation, we estimate the unknown parameter using a single number that is calculated from the sample data.
- The point estimate of the height of students based on the random sample would be a number like 175 cm for male students and 165 cm for female students.
- In interval estimation, we estimate an unknown parameter using an interval of values that is likely to contain the true value of that parameter (and state how confident we are that this interval indeed captures the true value of the parameter).
- A confidence interval would be like:
$P$ (height of male students falls between 173 cm and 177 cm ) $>0.95$.
- In hypothesis testing, we begin with a claim about the population (usually, called the null hypothesis), and we check whether or not the data obtained from the sample provide evidence in favor or against this claim.
- The hypothesis testing would test the null hypothesis: Height of male students $=$
 175 cm versus the alternative hypothesis Height > 175 cm

$$
P\left(\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}\right) \geq 1-\alpha ; O<\alpha<1
$$

Point and interval estimation

## Formal Definitions and Terminology

- In statistics, we take a set of n independent measurements $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ of size n from a distribution X (population) for which the pdf is $f_{X}\left(x, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ by performing that experiment $n$ times.
- The random variables $X_{1}, X_{2} \ldots, X_{n}$, called a random sample, have the same distribution $f_{X}\left(x, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ and are assumed to be independent. Joint pdf $=$ product of marginal pdf's
- The purpose is to draw conclusions from the properties of the sample about properties of the distribution of the corresponding X (the population).
- For populations, we define numbers called parameters, denoted $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ that characterize important properties of the distributions. Here, the pdf is explicitly expressed in terms of the parameter as $f_{X}\left(x, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. These parameters are unknown.
- The unknown parameters $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ are estimated by some appropriate functions of the observations

$$
\widehat{\theta_{1}}=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) ; \widehat{\theta_{2}}=g\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)
$$

## Formal Definitions and Terminology

- The function $\hat{\theta}=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, of the observable sample data, that is used to estimate the unknown population parameter is called a statistic or an estimator. A particular value of the estimator is called an estimate of $\theta$.
- A probability distribution of a statistic is called its sampling distribution $f_{\widehat{\theta}} \widehat{(\theta)}$
- We consider two types of parameter estimation, point estimation and interval estimation.
- Examples of parameters: height of male and female university students, the percentage of smokers among high school students, the compression strength of concrete, the percentage of students who favor e-learning techniques, ...


## Point Estimation

- Point estimation involves the use of the sample data to calculate a single value, which is to serve as a best guess for an unknown parameter. In other words, a point estimate of some unknown population parameter $(\theta)$ is a single numerical value



## Desirable Properties of Point Estimators

- An estimator should be close to the true value of the unknown parameter.
- Definition: A point estimator $(\hat{\theta})$ is unbiased estimator of $(\theta)$ if $E(\hat{\theta})=\theta$.
- If the estimator is biased, then $E(\hat{\theta})-\theta=B$ is called the bias of the estimator $(\hat{\theta})$.
- Let $\hat{\theta}_{A}, \hat{\theta}_{B}$ be two unbiased estimators of $(\theta)$. A logical principle of estimation when selecting among several estimators is to chooses the one that has the minimum variance.
- Definition: If we consider all unbiased estimators of $(\theta)$, the one with the smallest variance is called the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE).
- When $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{A}\right)<\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{B}\right), \hat{\theta}_{A}$ is called more efficient than $\hat{\theta}_{B}$.
- Recall that the variance $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})=E\left\{[\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta})]^{2}\right\}$ is a measure of the imprecision of the estimator (a measure of the spread of the data around the mean value)


## Mean Squared Error of an Estimator

- Definition: the mean square error of an estimator $(\hat{\theta})$ of the parameter $(\theta)$ is defined as:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}
$$

- This measure of goodness takes into account both the bias and imprecision.
- $\quad \operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})$ can also be expressed as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E\left\{[\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta})+E(\hat{\theta})-\theta]^{2}\right\}=E\left\{\left[(\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta}))+\left(\left(\frac{E(\hat{\theta})-\theta)}{=B}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}\right. \\
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E(\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta}))^{2}+2 B E\left\{\left(\underline{(\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta})}=0+B^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})+B^{2}\right.\right. \\
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})+B^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Definition: An estimator whose variance and bias go to zero as the number of observations goes to infinity is called consistent.

EXAMPLE: Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be a random sample of size two from a population with mean $\mu_{x}$ and variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$. Two estimators for $\mu_{x}$ are proposed: $\hat{\mu}_{1}=\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}}{2}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{2}=\frac{X_{1}+2 X_{2}}{3}$. Which estimator is better and in what sense?

## SOLUTION: First, we check for the un-biasedness of the two estimators

Four more examples on point estimators are fully explained in the next lecture, entitled "examples on point estimators)
(First bias: $B_{1}=E\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}=0$ )
$E\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=E\left(\frac{X_{1}+2 X_{2}}{3}\right)=\frac{\mu_{x}+2 \mu_{x}}{3}=\mu_{x}$. Therefore, $\hat{\mu}_{2}$ is also an unbiased estimator of $\mu_{x}$
(Second bias: $B_{2}=E\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)-\mu_{2}=0$ ) Both estimators are unbiased.
Next, Now, we evaluate the variance of each one of the two estimators:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}
$$


$\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{X_{1}+2 X_{2}}{3}\right)=\frac{1}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}+\frac{4}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}=\frac{5}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}$
Since $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}<\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=\frac{5}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}$, the first estimator is more efficient.
The sampling distribution of the statistic $f_{\widehat{\theta}} \widehat{(\theta)}$.
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)+B_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}, \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)+B_{2}^{2}=\frac{5}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}, \Rightarrow \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)<\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)$

## Point Estimation

Point estimation involves the use of the sample data to calculate a single value, which is to serve as a best guess for an unknown parameter. In other words, a point estimate of some unknown population parameter $(\theta)$ is a single numerical value


The estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is a random variable with a sampling distribution $f_{\widehat{\theta}}(\widehat{\theta})$. This estimator should have certain desirable properties that makes it close to the true value in a probabilistic sense.

- It should be unbiased
- Should have a small variance
- Should have a small mean squared error. These properties are considered next.

In interval estimation, we estimate an unknown parameter using an interval of values that is likely to contain the true value of that parameter (and state how confident we are that this interval indeed captures the true value of the parameter)

## Examples of Point Estimators

| Unknown <br> Parameter ( $\theta)$ | Statistic $(\hat{\Theta})$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ | Used to estimate the mean regardless of <br> whether the variance is known or unknown. |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ | $\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}$ | Used to estimate the variance when the mean <br> is unknown. |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ | $\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}$ | Used to estimate the variance, when the mean <br> is known. |
| p | $\hat{P}=\frac{x}{n}$ | Used to estimate the probability of a success in <br> a binomial distribution. $\mathrm{n}: ~ s a m p l e ~ s i z e, ~$ <br> x: <br> number of successes in the sample |
| $\mu_{X 1}-\mu_{X 2}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{X 1}-\hat{\mu}_{X 2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{1 i}}{n_{1}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{2 i}}{n_{2}}$ | Used to estimate the difference in the means of <br> two populations. |
| $\mathrm{p}_{1}-\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | $\hat{P}_{1}-\hat{P}_{2}=\frac{x_{1}}{n_{1}}-\frac{x_{2}}{n_{2}}$ | Used to estimate the difference in the <br> proportions of two populations. |

## Desirable Properties of Point Estimators

- An estimator should be close to the true value of the unknown parameter.
- Definition: A point estimator $(\hat{\theta})$ is unbiased estimator of $(\theta)$ if $E(\hat{\theta})=\theta$.
- If the estimator is biased, then $E(\hat{\theta})-\theta=B$ is called the bias of the estimator $(\hat{\theta})$.
- Let $\hat{\theta}_{A}, \hat{\theta}_{B}$ be two unbiased estimators of $(\theta)$. A logical principle of estimation when selecting among several estimators is to chooses the one that has the minimum variance.
- Definition: If we consider all unbiased estimators of $(\theta)$, the one with the smallest variance is called the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE).
- When $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{A}\right)<\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{B}\right), \hat{\theta}_{A}$ is called more efficient than $\hat{\theta}_{B}$.
- Recall that the variance $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})=E\left\{[\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta})]^{2}\right\}$ is a measure of the imprecision of the estimator (a measure of the spread of the data around the mean value)


## Mean Squared Error of an Estimator

- Definition: the mean square error of an estimator $(\hat{\theta})$ of the parameter $(\theta)$ is defined as:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}
$$

- This measure of goodness takes into account both the bias and imprecision. $\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})$ can also be expressed as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E\left\{[\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta})+E(\hat{\theta})-\theta]^{2}\right\}=E\left\{\left[(\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta}))+\left(\left(\frac{E(\hat{\theta})-\theta)}{=B}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}\right. \\
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=E(\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta}))^{2}+2 B E\left\{\frac{(\hat{\theta}-E(\hat{\theta})}{=0}\right\}+B^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})+B^{2} \\
\operatorname{MSE}(\hat{\theta})=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})+B^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Definition: An estimator whose variance and bias go to zero as the number of observations goes to infinity is called consistent.

EXAMPLE: Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be a random sample of size two from a population with mean $\mu_{x}$ and variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$. Two estimators for $\mu_{x}$ are proposed: $\hat{\mu}_{1}=\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}}{2}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{2}=\frac{X_{1}+2 X_{2}}{3}$. Which estimator is better and in what sense?

## SOLUTION: First, we check for the un-biasedness of the two estimators

$E\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=E\left(\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}}{2}\right)=\frac{\mu_{x}+\mu_{x}}{2}=\mu_{x}$. Therefore, $\hat{\mu}_{1}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\mu_{x}$.
(First bias: $B_{1}=E\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}=0$ )
$E\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=E\left(\frac{X_{1}+2 X_{2}}{3}\right)=\frac{\mu_{x}+2 \mu_{x}}{3}=\mu_{x}$. Therefore, $\hat{\mu}_{2}$ is also an unbiased estimator of $\mu_{x}$
(Second bias: $B_{2}=E\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)-\mu_{2}=0$ ) Both estimators are unbiased.
Next, Now, we evaluate the variance of each one of the two estimators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{X_{1}+X_{2}}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{x}^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{X_{1}+2 X_{2}}{3}\right)=\frac{1}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}+\frac{4}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}=\frac{5}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}<\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=\frac{5}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}$, the first estimator is more efficient.
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)+B_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}, \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)+B_{2}^{2}=\frac{5}{9} \sigma_{x}^{2}, \Rightarrow \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)<\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)$

EXAMPLE: Find the expected value and the variance of the sample mean

$$
\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} .
$$

SOLUTION: Let $\mu_{X}$ and $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ are the mean and variance of the population parameters.

The estimator $\hat{\mu}_{X}$ is used to estimate the population mean $\mu_{x}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\{\hat{\mu}_{X}\right\} & =\frac{1}{n} E\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\}=\frac{1}{n}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{n}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{x}\right\}=\frac{1}{n}\left(n \mu_{x}\right)=\mu_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of $\hat{\mu}_{X}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left\{\hat{\mu}_{x}\right\}=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \frac{1}{n^{2}} \operatorname{Var}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{n \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}{n^{2}}=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}{n} .
$$

Remark: Note $\operatorname{Var}\left\{\hat{\mu}_{X}\right\}$ tends to zero as n tends to infinity. Therefore, $\hat{\mu}_{X}$ is a consistent estimator.

## EXAMPLE: Show that the sample variance

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}
$$

(when the mean is known) is an unbiased estimator of the population variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ SOLUTION: Need to verify that $E\left\{\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}\right\}=\sigma_{x}^{2}$

$$
E\left(\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}
$$

Note that $E\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}=\sigma_{X}^{2}$; since the mean is known. Therefore,

$$
E\left(\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{X}^{2}=\frac{n \sigma_{X}^{2}}{n}=\sigma_{X}^{2} .
$$

Therefore, $\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma_{X}^{2}$.

EXAMPLE: Show that the sample variance $\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}$ (when the mean is unknown) is an $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { unbiased estimator of the population variance } \sigma_{X}^{2} \\ \begin{array}{l}\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \\ \text { SOLUTION: Need to verify that } E\left\{\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}\right\}=\sigma_{X}^{2}\end{array} \\ \hline\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right) \\ \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)\end{array}\right)\binom{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{1}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}{\left.\boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{x}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3}\right) \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$

Total Terms $n^{2}$
Diagonal: $n$ A computationally simpler expression for the sample variance is $\left(x_{3}, x_{1}\right) \quad\left(x_{3}, x_{2}\right) \quad\left(x_{3}, x_{3}\right)$ Off Diagonal $n^{2}-n$ ( $\left.x_{3}, x_{3}\right)=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)$ $\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}}{n(n-1)} \Rightarrow E\left\{\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}\right\}=\frac{1}{n(n-1)} E\left\{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right\}=\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\left\{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)-E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right\}$
Note that since $E\left\{x_{i}^{2}\right\}=\mu_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{X}^{2}$, then $n \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)=n^{2}\left(\mu_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{X}^{2}\right) \quad E\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)=E\left(X_{i} X_{i}\right)=\mu_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{X}^{2}$

$$
E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}=E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}\right)=E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \quad E\left(X_{i} X_{j}\right)=\mu_{X}^{2}
$$

The double summation contains $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ elements n terms are such that $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j}$, and $\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}-\mathrm{n}\right)=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)$ are such that $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$. When $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j}_{2} E\left\{x_{i}^{2}\right\}=\mu_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{X}^{2}$, and when $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}, E\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right)=E\left(x_{i}\right) E\left(x_{j}\right)=\mu_{X}^{2}$ since the random variables are independent.
Therefore, $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right)=n\left(\mu_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{X}^{2}\right)+n(n-1) \mu_{X}^{2}=n \sigma_{X}^{2}+n^{2} \mu_{X}^{2}$

$$
E\left\{\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}\right\}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)}\left\{n^{2}\left(\mu_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{X}^{2}\right)-n \sigma_{X}^{2}-n^{2} \mu_{X}^{2}\right\}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)}\left\{n^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2}-n \sigma_{X}^{2}\right\}=\sigma_{X}^{2}
$$

EXAMPLE: Consider a random sample of size n taken from a discrete distribution, the pmf of which is given by: $f(x)=\theta^{x}(1-\theta)^{1-x}, \mathrm{x}=0,1$. Two estimators for $\theta$ are proposed $\hat{\theta}_{1}=\bar{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{2}=\frac{n \bar{X}+1}{n+2}$
a. Which one of these two estimators is an unbiased estimator of the parameter $\theta$ ?
b. Which one has a smaller variance?

## SOLUTION: First, we find the mean and variance of the distribution $X$.

$E(X)=\mu_{x}=(0)(1-\theta)+(1)(\theta)=\theta ; \quad E\left(X^{2}\right)=(0)(1-\theta)+(1)(\theta)=\theta$
$\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sigma_{X}^{2}=E\left(X^{2}\right)-\left(\mu_{x}\right)^{2}=\theta-\theta^{2}=\theta(1-\theta)$
Expected values of the two estimators
$E\left(\widehat{\theta}_{1}\right)=E(\bar{X})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta=\frac{n \theta}{n}=\theta \Rightarrow$ unbiaded estimator $\Rightarrow B_{1}=0$

$\operatorname{Mean}(X)=\theta$
$\operatorname{Var}(X)=\theta(1-\theta)$
$E\left(\hat{\theta}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{n+2} E\{n \bar{X}+1\}=\frac{1}{n+2}\{n E(\bar{X})+1\}=\frac{1}{n+2}\{n \theta+1\}=\frac{n \theta+1}{n+2} \Rightarrow$ Biased estimator
(Second bias: $B_{2}=E\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)-\mu_{2}=\frac{n \theta+1}{n+2}-\theta=\frac{1-2 \theta}{n+2}$ ). The bias approaches 0 as n goes to infinity

Next, Now, we evaluate the variance of each one of the two estimators:
$\left.\operatorname{Var}\left\{\hat{\theta}_{1}\right\}=\operatorname{Var}\{\bar{X}\}=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \operatorname{Var}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{n \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}{n^{2}}=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}{n}=\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} \right\rvert\,$
$\operatorname{Var}\left\{\widehat{\theta}_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{n \bar{X}+1}{n+2}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{(n+2)^{2}} \operatorname{Var}\{\bar{X}\}=\frac{n^{2}}{(n+2)^{2}} \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}=\frac{n \theta(1-\theta)}{(n+2)^{2}}$
Since $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{2}\right)=\frac{n \theta(1-\theta)}{(n+2)^{2}}<\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$, the second estimator is more efficient.

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)+B_{1}^{2}=\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mu}_{2}\right)+B_{2}{ }^{2}=\frac{n \theta(1-\theta)}{(n+2)^{2}}+\left(\frac{1-2 \theta}{n+2}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{aX}+\mathrm{b} \Rightarrow \mu_{Y}=a \mu_{X}+b, o_{Y}^{2}=a^{2} o_{X}^{2}
$$

# Maximum Likelihood (ML) Estimation (A Method for Obtaining Point Estimators) 

- Point Estimation deals with the method of estimating an unknown parameter of a population based on random samples from the same population. In the parameter space, it is represented as a point. Hence the name point estimation. Desirable properties of a point estimator was addressed in a previous lecture.
- The assumption here is that the parameter to be estimated is a constant with one value, and the sample statistic computed from the sample is estimating that value exactly.

- Maximum Likelihood is one method of obtaining point estimators..
- In this lecture, we will explain this method and present a number of illustrative examples.


## Maximum Likelihood (ML) Estimation (Method for Obtaining Point Estimators)

Motivating Example: The probability p of a success in a binomial experiment may be 0.1 or it may be 0.9 . To resolve the uncertainty, the experiment was repeated 10 times and 3 successes were observed. What will be your estimate for p in light of the experiment outcome?

Solution: Let us calculate the probability of getting 3 successes in 10 trials for the two possible values of $p$ using the binomial distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x=3 ; 0.1)=\binom{10}{3}(0.1)^{3}(1-0.1)^{7}=0.0574 \\
& P(x=3 ; 0.9)=\binom{10}{3}(0.9)^{3}(1-0.9)^{7}=8.748 * 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$



3 heads in 10 trials Which P???

Therefore, we observe that $\mathrm{p}=0.1$ has a higher probability of producing the outcome and our estimate for p would be $\hat{p}=0.1$.

## Maximum Likelihood (ML) Estimation

Motivating Example: Let p be the probability of a success in a binomial distribution. This probability is unknown. To estimate p, the experiment is performed 10 times and 3 successes are observed. Find a maximum likelihood estimate for p .

Solution: Any value of $0 \leq p \leq 1$ is likely to produce the three successes in the 10 trials. But there is a specific value, $\hat{p}$, to be estimated, that has the highest probability of producing the result. This value of p is called the maximum likelihood estimate.

The probability of getting 3 successes in 10 trials for any value of $p$ is:

$$
f(p)=P(x=3 ; p)=\binom{10}{3} p^{3}(1-p)^{7}
$$

To find the specific value of p that maximizes $f(p)$, we differentiate $f(p)$ with respect to p , set the derivative to zero, and solve for $\hat{p}$

$$
\frac{d f(p)}{d p}=\binom{10}{3}\left[3 p^{2}(1-p)^{7}+7 p^{3}(1-p)^{6}(-1)\right]=0
$$

Solving for p , we get $\hat{p}=3 / 10$.

$$
\mathbf{0} \leq p \leq \mathbf{1}
$$

## How to Obtain the Maximum Likelihood Estimator

The maximum likelihood estimator selects the parameter $\hat{\theta}$ due to which the measurements $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ occur with the largest possible probability. The following steps summarize the procedure for obtaining a maximum likelihood estimator for a continuous parameter $\theta$ based on a random sample of measurements $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size n

- Form the joint pdf of the measurements $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ (expressed in terms of $\theta$ ). The joint pdf is also known as the likelihood function.

$$
L(\theta)=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n} ; \theta\right)
$$

- Since the observations are independent, the joint pdf is the product of the marginal pdf's

$$
L(\theta)=f\left(X_{1}, \theta\right) f\left(X_{2}, \theta\right) \ldots, f\left(X_{n} ; \theta\right)
$$

- The maximum likelihood technique looks for that value $(\hat{\theta})$ of the parameter $\theta$ that maximizes the joint pdf of the samples. A necessary condition for the maximum likelihood estimator of $(\theta)$ is:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L(\theta)=0 \text { or equivalently } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln \{L(\theta)\}=0
$$

Note that this step is justified since $\ln (u)$ is a monotonically increasing function in $u$.

- Solve for $\hat{\theta}$ that maximizes $\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. The solution to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln \{L(\theta)\}=0$ is the desired maximum likelihood estimator.

EXAMPLE: Given a random sample $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size n taken from a discrete distribution, the pmf of which is given by: $f(x)=\theta^{x}(1-\theta)^{1-x}, \mathrm{x}=0,1$.

- Use the ML technique to find an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for $\theta$.
- Is this estimator unbiased?

SOLUTION: Form the likelihood function as a product of the marginal pdf's of $X_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(x, \theta)=f\left(x_{1}, \theta\right) f\left(x_{2}, \theta\right) \ldots f\left(x_{n}, \theta\right) \\
& L(x, \theta)=\left[\theta^{x_{1}}(1-\theta)^{1-x_{1}}\right]\left[\theta^{x_{2}}(1-\theta)^{1-x_{2}}\right] \ldots\left[\theta^{x_{n}}(1-\theta)^{1-x_{n}}\right]=\theta^{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots x_{n}\right)}(1-\theta)^{n-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots x_{n}\right)} \\
& \ln L(x, \theta)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots x_{n}\right) \ln \theta+\left[n-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots x_{n}\right)\right] \ln (1-\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiate w.r.t to $\theta$, set derivative to zero, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} \ln L(x, \theta)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{\theta}+\frac{-n+\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{1-\theta}=0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}
$$



Now, we calculate the parameters of $X_{i}$.
Mean (X) = $\theta$

$$
E\left(X_{i}\right)=\mu_{x}=(0)(1-\theta)+(1)(\theta)=\theta ;
$$

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\theta(1-\theta)
$$

Therefore, $E(\hat{\theta})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta=\frac{n \theta}{n}=\theta \Rightarrow$ unbiaded estimator $\Rightarrow$ Bias $_{2}=0$. 0 ate Window 5

EXAMPLE: Given a random sample $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size (n) taken from a distribution X with pdf $f(x)=(\alpha+1) x^{\alpha}, 0<\mathrm{x}<1$. Use the ML technique to find an estimator for $\alpha$.
SOLUTION: The likelihood function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(x, \alpha)=f\left(x_{1}, \alpha\right) f\left(x_{2}, \alpha\right) \ldots f\left(x_{n}, \alpha\right) \\
& L(x, \alpha)=(\alpha+1) x_{1}^{\alpha} \ldots(\alpha+1) x_{n}^{\alpha}=(\alpha+1)^{n} x_{1}^{\alpha} \ldots x_{n}^{\alpha} \\
& \ln L(x, \alpha)=n \ln (\alpha+1)+\alpha \ln x_{1} \ldots+\alpha \ln x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating with respect to $\alpha$ and setting the derivative to zero, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d \alpha} \ln L(\alpha)=\frac{n}{\hat{\alpha}+1}+\ln x_{1} \ldots+\ln x_{n}=0
$$

Solving for $\hat{\alpha}$ we get

$$
\hat{\alpha}=\frac{n}{-\ln x_{1} \ldots-\ln x_{n}}-1=\frac{1}{\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_{i}\right) / n}-1 . \text { Estimator, (note that } \ln x_{i}<0 \text { since } 0<\mathrm{x}<1 \text { ) }
$$

Now, suppose that the random sample yield the observations $\{0.52,0.6,0.55,0.58,0.5\}$.Then, $\hat{\alpha}$

$$
\hat{\alpha}=\frac{5}{-\ln 0.52-\ln 0.6-\ln 0.55-\ln 0.58-\ln 0.5}-1=\frac{5}{3}-1=\frac{2}{3} .(\text { Point estimate of } \alpha) \text { ivate Wind ows }
$$

EXAMPLE: Given a random sample $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size (n) taken from a Gaussian population with parameters $\mu_{X}$ and $\sigma_{X}^{2}$. Use the ML technique to find estimators for the cases:
a. The mean $\mu_{X}$ when the variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ is known.
b. The variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ when the mean $\mu_{X}$ is known.

Solution: Form the likelihood function as a product of the pdf's of the n Gaussian observations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{X}^{2}}} e^{\frac{-\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}}=\frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{x}}}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma_{X}^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \Rightarrow \ln (L)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}-\frac{n}{2} \ln \left(2 \pi \sigma_{X}^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

a- Take the derivative of (1) w.r.t $\mu_{X}$ (treating $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ as a constant), set derivative to 0 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{X}}\{\ln L\}=0 \Rightarrow-\frac{2}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}(-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mu_{X}\right)=0  \tag{2}\\
& \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} ; \quad \text { ML Estimator (unbiased) }
\end{align*}
$$

b. Take the derivative of (1) w.r.t $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ (treating $\mu_{X}$ as a constant), set the derivative to 0 .
$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{X}^{2}}\{\ln L\}=0 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}$ (Note the division by $\mathbf{n}$ since $\mu_{X}$ is known). In the previous lecture, we proved that this estimator is unbiased.

EXAMPLE: Given a random sample $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size (n) taken from a Gaussian population with parameters $\mu_{X}$ and $\sigma_{X}^{2}$. Use the ML technique to find estimators for the case when the mean $\mu_{X}$ and variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ are both assumed unknown.
Solution: Form the likelihood function as a product of the pdf's of the n Gaussian observations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{X}^{2}}} e^{\frac{-\left(x_{x}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}}=\frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma_{X}^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \Rightarrow \ln (L)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{X}^{2}}-\frac{n}{2} \ln \left(2 \pi \sigma_{X}^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{X}} \ln L=0, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}} \ln L=0$ and solve for $\mu_{X}$ and $\sigma_{X}^{2}$. The result is

$$
\hat{\mu}_{M L}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{M L}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}
$$

The ML for the mean is unbiased. However, the ML of the variance is biased since $E\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\text {ML }}^{2}\right)=E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \sigma_{X}^{2}$; An unbiased estimator is $\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}$. In the previous lecture, we proved that this estimator is unbiased

## Interval Estimators for the Mean and Variance

An interval estimate of an unknown parameter ( $\theta$ ) is an interval of the form $\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}$ where the end points $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ depend on the numerical value of the parameter to be estimated for a particular sample. From the sampling distribution of $(\hat{\theta})$ we will be able to determine values of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ such that:

$$
P\left(\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}\right)=1-\alpha ; 0<\alpha<1
$$

where, $\theta$ : the unknown parameter

$(1-\alpha):$ is the confidence coefficient

$$
P\left(\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}\right) \geq 1-\alpha ; 0<\alpha<1
$$

$\alpha: \quad$ is called the confidence level.
$\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ : lower and the upper confidence limits on $\theta$ $\qquad$
In point estimation, we estimate the unknown parameter using a single number that is calculated from the sample data. $\hat{\theta}=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$

## Confidence Interval on the Mean: (Variance Known)

Suppose that the population of interest, X , follows the Gaussian distribution $X \sim N\left(\mu_{X}, \sigma_{X}^{2}\right)$, $\mu_{X}$ is unknown and $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ is known.

The sampling distribution of

$$
\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{X}, \sigma_{X}^{2} / n\right)
$$

Therefore, the distribution of the statistic

$$
P\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2} \leq Z \leq z_{\alpha / 2}\right\}=1-\alpha \Rightarrow P\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2} \leq \frac{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}} \leq z_{\alpha / 2}\right\}=1-\alpha
$$

$$
P\left\{\hat{\mu}_{X}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}\right\}=1-\alpha ; 100(1-\alpha) \% \text { confidence interval on } \mu_{\mathrm{x}}
$$

where $z_{\alpha / 2}$ is the upper $100(\alpha / 2) \%$ point of the standard normal.

$$
\xrightarrow[{\hat{\mu}_{x}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{x} / \sqrt{n}}]{ }
$$



A random sample of n measurements $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is drawn from a Gaussian distribution with an unknown mean and a known variance. The objective is to construct $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval on the mean.

## Choice of the Sample Size

The definition above means that in using $\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}$ to estimate $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}$, the error $E=\left|\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{x}}-\mu_{x}\right|$ is less than or equal to $z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}$ with confidence $100(1-\alpha)$. In situations where the sample size can be controlled, we can choose (n) so that we are $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confident that the error in estimating $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}$ is less than a specified error (E).
n is chosen such that $E=z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n} \Rightarrow n=\left(\frac{z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X}}{E}\right)^{2}$

$$
P\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X} \leq z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}\right\}=1-\alpha
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}=\left|\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}\right| \leq z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}
$$

$$
\xrightarrow[{\hat{\mu}_{x}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{x} / \sqrt{n}}]{ }
$$



EXAMPLE: The following samples are drawn from a population that is known to be Gaussian.

| 7.31 | 10.80 | 11.27 | 11.91 | 5.51 | 8.00 | 9.03 | 14.42 | 10.24 | 10.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

a. Find a $95 \%$ confidence interval on the mean if the variance of the population is known to be 4.
b. Find the sample size if we want to be $95 \%$ confident that the error is less than 0.2 .

SOLUTION: Clearly, the sample size is $\mathrm{n}=10$. A $95 \%$ confidence interval takes the form

$$
P\left(\hat{\mu}_{X}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}\right)=1-\alpha
$$

Here, the sample average is: $\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=9.94 \quad \mathrm{E}=\left|\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}\right| \leq z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}$
$\alpha=0.05 \Rightarrow \alpha / 2=0.025$. From the table of the Gaussian cumulative distribution function, we find that $\Phi(-1.96)=0.025 \Rightarrow z_{\alpha / 2}=1.96$. The confidence interval is

$$
P\left\{9.94-\frac{1.96 \times \sqrt{4}}{\sqrt{10}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq 9.94+\frac{1.96 \times \sqrt{4}}{\sqrt{10}}\right\}=0.95 \Rightarrow P\left\{8.70 \leq \mu_{X} \leq 11.1796\right\}=0.95
$$

With $\mathrm{n}=10$, we are $95 \%$ confident that the error is bounded by

$$
E=z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}=1.96 * 2 / \sqrt{10}=1.239
$$



Cumulative probabilities for NEGATIVE z-values are shown in the following table:


| z | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -3.4 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 |
| -3.3 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 |
| -3.2 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| -3.1 | 0.0010 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 |
| -3.0 | 0.0013 | 0.0013 | 0.0013 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| -2.9 | 0.0019 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 | 0.0017 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 | 0.0015 | 0.0015 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 |
| -2.8 | 0.0026 | 0.0025 | 0.0024 | 0.0023 | 0.0023 | 0.0022 | 0.0021 | 0.0021 | 0.0020 | 0.0019 |
| -2.7 | 0.0035 | 0.0034 | 0.0033 | 0.0032 | 0.0031 | 0.0030 | 0.0029 | 0.0028 | 0.0027 | 0.0026 |
| -2.6 | 0.0047 | 0.0045 | 0.0044 | 0.0043 | 0.0041 | 0.0040 | 0.0039 | 0.0038 | 0.0037 | 0.0036 |
| -2.5 | 0.0062 | 0.0060 | 0.0059 | 0.0057 | 0.0055 | 0.0054 | 0.0052 | 0.0051 | 0.0049 | 0.0048 |
| -2.4 | 0.0082 | 0.0080 | 0.0078 | 0.0075 | 0.0073 | 0.0071 | 0.0069 | 0.0068 | 0.0066 | 0.0064 |
| -2.3 | 0.0107 | 0.0104 | 0.0102 | 0.0099 | 0.0096 | 0.0094 | 0.0091 | 0.0089 | 0.0087 | 0.0084 |
| -2.2 | 0.0139 | 0.0136 | 0.0132 | 0.0129 | 0.0125 | 0.0122 | 0.0119 | 0.0116 | 0.0113 | 0.0110 |
| -2.1 | 0.0179 | 0.0174 | 0.0170 | 0.0166 | 0.0162 | 0.0158 | 0.0154 | 0.0150 | 0.0146 | 0.0143 |
| -2.0 | 0.0228 | 0.0222 | 0.0217 | 0.0212 | 0.0207 | 0.0202 | 0.0197 | 0.0192 | 0.0188 | 0.0183 |
| -1.9 | 0.0287 | 0.0281 | 0.0274 | 0.0268 | 0.0262 | 0.0256 | 0.0250 | 0.0244 | 0.0239 | 0.0233 |
| -1.8 | 0.0359 | 0.0351 | 0.0344 | 0.0336 | 0.0329 | 0.0322 | 0.0314 | 0.0307 | 0.0301 | A.0294 |
| -1.7 | 0.0446 | 0.0436 | 0.0427 | 0.0418 | 0.0409 | 0.0401 | 0.0392 | 0.0384 | 0.0375 | $\mathrm{G}^{0.0367}$ ting |

EXAMPLE: The rainfall in a region is normally distributed with a mean value $\mu$ and a standard deviation $\sigma=25 \mathrm{~cm}$. Over a certain period, the following rain gauge readings (in cm ) were collected

| 115.4 | 99.5 | 110.2 | 79.1 | 187.6 | 106.4 | 101.7 | 112.5 | 138.7 | 117.5 | 99.1 | 134.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

a- Find the width of a $95 \%$ confidence interval on the mean.
b- If the width of the $95 \%$ confidence interval on the mean is to be reduced to 10 cm , how large should the sample size be to get this result?
SOLUTION: Clearly, we have a sample of size $\mathrm{n}=12$. A $95 \%$ confidence interval takes the form

$$
P\left(\hat{\mu}_{X}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}\right)=1-\alpha
$$

Here, the sample average is: $\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{12} \sum^{n} x_{i}=116.81 \quad \mathrm{E}=\left|\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}\right| \leq z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}$
$\alpha=0.05 \Rightarrow \alpha / 2=0.025$. From the table of the Gaussian cumulative distribution function, we find that $\Phi(-1.96)=0.025 \Rightarrow z_{\alpha / 2}=1.96$. The confidence interval is
$P\left\{116.81-\frac{(1.96)(25)}{\sqrt{12}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq 116.8167+\frac{(1.96)(25)}{\sqrt{12}}\right\}=0.95 \Rightarrow P\left\{113.98 \leq \mu_{X} \leq 119.64\right\}=0.95$
With $\mathrm{n}=12$, we are $95 \%$ confident that the error is bounded by $\mathrm{E}=z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}=1.96 * 25 / \sqrt{12}=14.14$
The sample size for $\mathbf{n}$ to have an error $<\mathbf{1 0}$, is $n=\left(\frac{z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{X}}{E}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{1.96 * 25}{10}\right)^{2}=25 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Activate Windor } \\ & \text { Go to Settings to actil }\end{aligned}$

## Confidence Interval on the Mean: (Variance Unknown)

- Suppose that the population of interest has a normal distribution with unknown mean $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}$ and an unknown variance $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$.
- Estimating the mean, when the variance is known, was considered in the previous lecture. In that case, we used the statistic $Z=\frac{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu}{\sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}}$ to establish the confidence interval.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - Note that with } \sigma_{X} \text { known }_{2} Z=\frac{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1) . & \begin{array}{l}\text { The number of independent pieces of inform } \\ \text { that go into the estimate of a parameter are } \\ \text { called the degrees of freedom }\end{array} \\ \text { - Definition: Let } \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { be a random sample from a normal distribution with unknown }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - Note that with } \sigma_{X} \text { known, } Z=\frac{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1) . & \begin{array}{l}\text { The number of independent pieces of inf } \\ \text { that go into the estimate of a parameter } \\ \text { called the degrees of freedom }\end{array} \\ \text { - Definition: Let } \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { be a random sample from a normal distribution with unknown }\end{array}$ $k=n-1$ degrees of freedom, where $\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}$ is the sample variance and $\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ is the sample mean.

$$
\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \Rightarrow X_{n}=n \hat{\mu}_{X}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} X_{j}
$$

- When the variance is unknown, the sample standard deviation used in the definition of T is no longer a constant, but rather a random variable. As such, T does not follow the Gaussian distribution.

The probability density function of the T-distribution is given here, without proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{T}(t)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(k+1)}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi k} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\left(\frac{t^{2}}{k+1}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \quad-\infty<t<\infty \\
& T=\frac{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu}{\hat{\sigma}_{X} / \sqrt{n}} \quad \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \\
& \text { - The T distribution is similar to the } Z \sim N(0,1) \text { distribution in that it is symmetrical and }
\end{aligned}
$$ bell-shaped, but more spread out (has a higher variance).

- The exact shape of the T distribution is determined by one parameter, $(\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1})$, called the "degrees of freedom. Therefore, there is a T distribution for each value of n .
- The mean of the t -distribution is zero and the variance $\sigma_{T}^{2}=\frac{k}{k-2}$.
- As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the t-distribution converges to the normal distribution.
- The maximum is reached at the mean value.

A plot of the $t$ probability density function for 4 different values of the degrees of freedom $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}-1$, Wikipedia


Confidence on the mean when the variance is known takes the form

$$
P\left(\hat{\mu}_{X}-z_{\alpha / 2} \frac{\sigma_{X}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+z_{\alpha / 2} \frac{\sigma_{X}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=1-\alpha
$$

## Definition: Confidence interval on the mean when the variance is unknown

If $\hat{\mu}_{X}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{X}$ are the mean and standard deviation of a random sample from a normal distribution with unknown variance $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$, the $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval on $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}$ is:

$$
P\left\{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+t_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}=1-\alpha
$$

where $t_{\alpha\{2, n-1}$ is the upper $100(\alpha / 2) \%$ point of the T-distribution with $(\mathrm{n}-1)$ degrees of freedom.
Derivation: $P\left\{-t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \leq T \leq t_{\alpha / 2, r} P\left\{\left|\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}\right| \leq t_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}\right\}=1-\alpha\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{-t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \leq \frac{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}}{\hat{\sigma}_{X} / \sqrt{n}} \leq t_{\alpha / 2, n-1}\right\}=1-\alpha \\
& P\left\{\hat{\mu}_{X}-t_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+t_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}=1-\alpha \\
& P\left\{-t_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X} \leq t_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1} \sigma_{X} / \sqrt{n}\right\}=1-\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$



EXAMPLE: For the following samples drawn from a normal population:

| 7.31 | 10.80 | 11.27 | 11.91 | 5.51 | 8.00 | 9.03 | 14.42 | 10.24 | 10.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Find $95 \%$ confidence interval for the mean if the variance of the population is unknown.
SOLUTION: The sample size $\mathrm{n}=10$ and the degrees of freedom $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}-1=9$.
The sample average and the sample variance are

$$
P\left\{\left|\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}\right| \leq 1.83\right\}=0.95
$$

$$
\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=9.94 ; \quad \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}=6.51
$$

A 95\% confidence interval on the mean takes the form

$$
\left.P\left\{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+\boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}=1-\alpha \right\rvert\,
$$



From the table of the T-distribution, we can obtain $t_{\alpha / 2, n-1}=t_{0.025,9}=2.263$ as: Therefore,

$$
P\left\{9.94-2.263 \frac{\sqrt{6.51}}{\sqrt{10}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq 9.94+2.263 \frac{\sqrt{6.51}}{\sqrt{10}}\right\}=0.95 \Rightarrow P\left\{8.11 \leq \mu_{X} \leq 11.77\right\}=0.95 \text { ws }
$$



|  | Right-Tail Probability |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{n}-1$ | df | t. 100 | $t .050$ | $t .025$ | $t_{\text {t. }}$ (10 |  |  | $\text { Shaded area }=\frac{\alpha}{2}=P\left(t_{\nu}>t_{\nu, \frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$ |
|  | 1 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.706 | 31.821 | 63.656 | 318.289 |  |
|  | 2 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.328 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.214 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.894 |  |
|  | 6 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 | $t_{\nu}>t_{\nu, \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ |
|  | 7 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 |  |
|  | 8 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 |  |
|  | 9 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.297 |  |
|  | 10 | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | , 4.144 |  |
|  | 11 | 1.363 | 1.796 | 2.201 | 2.718 | 3.106 | 4.025 |  |
|  | 12 | 1.356 | 1.782 | 2.179 | 2.681 | 3.055 | 3.930 |  |
|  | 13 | 1.350 | 1.771 | 2.160 | 2.650 | 3.012 | 3.852 | 5 |

## Confidence Level

## Right-Tail Probability

EXAMPLE: A civil engineer is testing the compressive strength of concrete. He tests 12 specimens and obtains the following data (in psi)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2216 & 2237 & 2249 & 2204 & 2225 & 2301 & 2281 & 2283 & 2318 & 2255 & 2275 & 2295\end{array}$
a. Find point estimates for the mean and variance of the strength
b. Construct a $95 \%$ confidence interval on the mean strength

SOLUTION: The sample size $\mathrm{n}=12$ and the degrees of freedom $\mathrm{k}=12-1=11$.
The sample average and the sample variance are

$$
\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=2261 ; \quad \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}=1309 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{X}=36.18
$$

A 95\% confidence interval on the mean takes the form

$$
P\left\{\hat{\mu}_{X}-\boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq \hat{\mu}_{X}+t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{X}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}=1-\alpha \quad P\left\{\left|\hat{\mu}_{X}-\mu_{X}\right| \leq 22\right\}=0.95
$$

From the table of the T-distribution, we can obtain $t_{\alpha / 2, n-1}=t_{0.025,1}=2.2$ as: Therefore,

$$
P\left\{2261-2.2 \frac{36.18}{\sqrt{12}} \leq \mu_{X} \leq 2261+2.2 \frac{36.18}{\sqrt{12}}\right\}=0.95 \Rightarrow P\left\{2238 \leq \mu_{X} \leq 2283\right\}=0.95
$$

## Confidence Interval on the Variance of a Normal Population

- The $\chi^{2}$ Distribution: Let $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n}$ be n independent and identically standard normal random variables (each with mean 0 and variance 1 ). The random variable $\chi^{2}$ with n degrees of freedom is defined as:


On the next slide, we derive the pdf of the each component $Z_{i}^{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
f_{Z}(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}} & E(Y)=1, E\left(Z^{2}\right)=1 \\
\mathrm{Y}=Z^{2} \Rightarrow f_{Y}(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi y}} e^{\frac{-y}{2}} ; y \geq 0 & \operatorname{Var}(Y)=2
\end{array}
$$

The shape of the Chi-square distribution depends on the number of degrees of freedom

- Its mean and variance are $E\left(\chi^{2}\right)=n, \operatorname{Var}\left(\chi^{2}\right)=2 n$
- This distribution is positive valued and skewed to the right.
- $\quad \chi^{2}=\left(Z_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(Z_{2}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(Z_{n}\right)^{2}$.

EXAMPLE: Let (X) be a Gaussian r.v with mean 0 variance 1 . Define $Y=X^{2}$. Find $f_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{y})$
SOLUTION: From the figure, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(y<Y<y+\Delta y)=2 P(x<X<x+\Delta x) \\
& \quad f_{Y}(y) \Delta y=2 f_{X}(x) \Delta x \\
& \quad f_{Y}(y)=2 f_{X}(x)\left|\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y}\right|=\frac{2 f_{X}(x)}{\left|\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right|}=\frac{2 f_{X}(x)}{\left|\frac{d y}{d x}\right|} ; y \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\quad y=x^{2} ; \quad\left|\frac{d y}{d x}\right|=|2 x|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{Y}(y)=\frac{2}{|2 x|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{-(x)^{2}}{2}}, x=\sqrt{y} \\
& f_{Y}(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{-y}{2}} \\
& f_{Y}(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi y}} e^{\frac{-y}{2}} ; y \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$



## Confidence Interval on the Variance: (Mean Known)

- Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be n independent and identically distributed normal random variables (each with mean $\mu_{X}$ and variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ ). The random variable $\chi^{2}$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{2}=\left(\frac{x_{1}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{2}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)^{2} \ldots+\left(\frac{x_{n}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{X}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2} \\
& \chi^{2}=\frac{n}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}\right\}=\frac{n}{\sigma_{X}^{2}} \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Confidence Interval: The confidence interval is constructed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n}^{2} \leq \chi^{2} \leq \chi_{\alpha j 2, n}^{2}\right\}=1-\alpha \Rightarrow P\left\{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n}^{2} \leq \frac{n \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\sigma_{X}^{2}} \leq \chi_{\alpha j 2, n}^{2}\right\}=1-\alpha \\
& P\left\{\frac{n \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha j 2, n}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{n \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n}^{2}}\right\}=1-\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}}^{2}$ and $\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}}^{2}$ are the upper and lower $100(\alpha / 2) \%$ points of the chi-square distribution with (n) degrees of freedom, respectively.

## Confidence Interval on the Variance of a Normal Population: (Mean Unknown)

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be n independent and identically standard normal random variables (each with mean $\mu_{X}$ and variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ ). The random variable $\chi^{2}$ with ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) degrees of freedom can be expressed as:

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{n-1}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2}\right\}=\frac{n-1}{\sigma_{X}^{2}} \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}, \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2} \quad \hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}
$$

Definition: If $\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}$ is the sample variance from a random sample of (n) observations from a normal distribution with an unknown mean and an unknown variance $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$, then a $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval on $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ is:

$$
\frac{(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}}
$$

The number of independent pieces of information that go into the estimate of a parameter are called the degrees of freedom
where $\chi_{\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1}^{2}$ and $\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, \mathrm{n}-1}^{2}$ is the upper and lower $100(\alpha / 2) \%$ point of the chi-square distribution with $(n-1)$ degrees of freedom, respectively.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{0.025,10}^{2}=20.483 \\
\chi_{0.975,10}^{2}=3.247 \\
0.95 \text { confidence }
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
\chi_{0.025,9}^{2}=19.023
$$

$$
\chi_{0.975, g}^{2}=2.7
$$

$$
0.95 \text { confidence }
$$

$$
\chi_{0.005,5}^{2}=16.750
$$

$$
\chi_{0.995,5}^{2}=0.412
$$

$$
0.99 \text { confidence }
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\chi_{0.05,11}^{2}=19.675 \\
\chi_{0.95,11}^{2}=4.575 \\
0.90 \text { confidence }
\end{array}
$$

| $\boldsymbol{d f}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 2.706 | 3.841 | 5.024 | 6.635 | 7.879 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0.051 | 0.103 | 0.211 | 4.605 | 5.991 | 7.378 | 9.210 | 10.597 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.072 | 0.115 | 0.216 | 0.352 | 0.584 | 6.251 | 7.815 | 9.348 | 11.345 | 12.838 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.207 | 0.297 | 0.484 | 0.711 | 1.064 | 7.779 | 9.488 | 11.143 | 13.277 | 14.860 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.412 | 0.554 | 0.831 | 1.145 | 1.610 | 9.236 | 11.070 | 12.833 | 15.086 | 16.750 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.676 | 0.872 | 1.237 | 1.635 | 2.204 | 10.645 | 12.592 | 14.449 | 16.812 | 18.548 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.989 | 1.239 | 1.690 | 2.167 | 2.833 | 12.017 | 14.067 | 16.013 | 18.475 | 20.278 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1.344 | 1.646 | 2.180 | 2.733 | 3.490 | 13.362 | 15.507 | 17.535 | 20.090 | 21.955 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 1.735 | 2.088 | 2.700 | 3.325 | 4.168 | 14.684 | 16.919 | 19.023 | 21.666 | 23.589 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 2.156 | 2.558 | 3.247 | 3.940 | 4.865 | 15.987 | 18.307 | 20.483 | 23.209 | 25.188 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 2.603 | 3.053 | 3.816 | 4.575 | 5.578 | 17.275 | 19.675 | 21.920 | 24.725 | 26.757 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 3.074 | 3.571 | 4.404 | 5.226 | 6.304 | 18.549 | 21.026 | 23.337 | 26.217 | 28.300 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 3.565 | 4.107 | 5.009 | 5.892 | 7.042 | 19.812 | 22.362 | 24.736 | 27.688 | 29.819 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 4.075 | 4.660 | 5.629 | 6.571 | 7.790 | 21.064 | 23.685 | 26.119 | 29.141 | 31.319 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 4.601 | 5.229 | 6.262 | 7.261 | 8.547 | 22.307 | 24.996 | 27.488 | 30.578 | 32.801 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 5.142 | 5.812 | 6.908 | 7.962 | 9.312 | 23.542 | 26.296 | 28.845 | 32.000 | 34.267 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 5.697 | 6.408 | 7.564 | 8.672 | 10.085 | 24.769 | 27.587 | 30.191 | 33.409 | 35.718 |

EXAMPLE: For the following samples drawn from a normal population:

| 7.31 | 10.80 | 11.27 | 11.91 | 5.51 | 8.00 | 9.03 | 14.42 | 10.24 | 10.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Find $95 \%$ confidence interval for estimation of the variance if the mean of the population is known to be 10 .

SOLUTION: From the sample we calculate the sample variance using the known mean of 10

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-10\right)^{2}=5.866
$$

From tables of $\chi^{2}$-distribution:


Number of degree of freedom $=\mathrm{n}=10$ (since the mean is known)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \alpha=0.05 \rightarrow \alpha / 2=0.025 \Rightarrow \chi_{0.025,10}^{2}=20.483 \text { and } \chi_{0.975,10}^{2}=3.247 \\
& P\left\{\frac{n \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha j 2, n}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{n \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n}^{2}}\right\}=1-\alpha \Rightarrow P\left\{\frac{10 \times 5.866}{20.483} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{10 \times 5.866}{3.247}\right\}=0.95 \\
& P\left\{2.863 \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq 18.065\right\}=0.95
\end{aligned}
$$

EXAMPLE: For the following samples drawn from a normal population:

| 7.31 | 10.80 | 11.27 | 11.91 | 5.51 | 8.00 | 9.03 | 14.42 | 10.24 | 10.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Find $95 \%$ confidence interval for estimation of the variance if the mean of the population is unknown.

SOLUTION: From the sample we calculate the sample mean and sample variance:

$$
\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=9.94 ; \quad \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-9.94\right)^{2}=6.51
$$

From tables of $\chi^{2}$-distribution:
Number of degree of freedom $=\mathrm{n}=10-1=9$

$$
\alpha=0.05 \rightarrow \alpha / 2=0.025 \Rightarrow \chi_{0.025,9}^{2}=19.023 \text { and } \chi_{0.975,9}^{2}=2.7
$$

$$
P\left\{\frac{(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}}\right\}=1-\alpha \Rightarrow P\left\{\frac{9 \times 6.51}{19.023} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{9 \times 6.51}{2.7}\right\}=0.95
$$

$$
P\left\{3.0799 \leq \sigma_{x}^{2} \leq 21.7\right\}=0.95
$$

EXAMPLE: A civil engineer is testing the compressive strength of concrete. He tests 12 specimens and obtains the following data (in psi)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2216 & 2237 & 2249 & 2204 & 2225 & 2301 & 2281 & 2283 & 2318 & 2255 & 2275 & 2295\end{array}$
a. Find point estimates for the mean and variance of the strength
b. Construct a $90 \%$ confidence interval on the variance of the strength

SOLUTION: The sample size $\mathrm{n}=12$ and the degrees of freedom $\mathrm{k}=12-1=11$.
The sample average and the sample variance are

$$
\hat{\mu}_{X}=\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=2261 ; \quad \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}_{X}\right)^{2}=1309 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{X}=36.18
$$

A $90 \%$ confidence interval on the variance takes the form $(\alpha=0.1, \alpha / 2=0.05)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, P\left\{\frac{(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) \hat{\sigma}_{X}^{2}}{\chi_{1-\alpha / 2, n-1}^{2}}\right\}=1-\alpha \Rightarrow P\left\{\frac{11 \times 1309}{19.675} \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{11 \times 1309}{4.575}\right\}=0.95\right. \\
& P\left\{732 \leq \sigma_{X}^{2} \leq 3147\right\}=0.95 \Rightarrow P\left\{27.05 \leq \sigma_{X} \leq 56.098\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Confidence Interval on a Binomial Proportion

The Binomial Distribution: A trial experiment is repeated $n$ times under identical conditions. The probability of a success in any given trial is p . If X is the random variable representing the number of successes in the n trials, then X follows the binomial distribution

$$
P(X=x)=\binom{n}{x} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{x}}(1-p)^{n-x}, x=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | . | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(S, F)$ | $(S, F)$ | $(S, F)$ | $(S, F)$ | $(S, F)$ | $(S, F)$ |

The mean and variance of X are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X}=E(X) & =n p \\
\operatorname{Var}(x)=\sigma_{X}^{2} & =n p(1-p)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\binom{n}{x} p^{x}(1-p)^{n-x} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi n p(1-p)}} e^{\frac{-(x-n p)^{2}}{2 n p(1-p)}}
$$

The estimator for p is $\hat{p}=X / n$
The mean and variance of $p=X / n$ are

$$
X \rightarrow N(n p, n p(1-p))
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
E(\hat{p})=E(X / n)=n p / p=p & \text { Unbiased Estimator } & \text { Consistent Estimator } \\
\operatorname{Var}(\hat{p})=\operatorname{Var}(X / n)=\operatorname{Var}(X) / n^{2}=n p(1-p) / n^{2}=p(1-p) / n & \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \text { infinity (MVUE) }
\end{array}
$$

For large n , the central limit theorem applies and X can be approximated by a Gaussian distribution. Since the difference between X and $\hat{p}=X / n$ is a constant, then $\hat{p}$ can also be approximated by a Gaussian distribution ( p is not too close to 0 or 1 and n is large; n p ? $\gtrless$ /and ws $\mathrm{np}(1-\mathrm{p}>5)$.

To find a $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence interval on the binomial proportion using the normal approximation, we construct the statistic:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=\frac{X-n p}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}}=\frac{\hat{p}-p}{\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} \Rightarrow P\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2} \leq Z \leq z_{\alpha / 2}\right\}=1-\alpha} \begin{array}{r}
Z=\left(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}\right) / \sigma_{X} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}) \\
P\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2} \leq \frac{\hat{p}-p}{\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}} \leq z_{\alpha / 2}\right\}=1-\alpha \Rightarrow P\left\{\hat{p}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} \leq p \leq \hat{p}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right\}=1-\alpha
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

The last equation expresses the upper and lower limits of the confidence interval in terms of the unknown parameter p .
Wald Method: This method replaces (p) by $\hat{p}$ in the lower and upper bounds

$$
P\left\{\hat{p}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} \leq p \leq \hat{p}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}\right\}=1-\alpha
$$

This method is widely used, however careful study however reveals that it is flawed and inaccurate for a large range of $n$ and $p$ and is not recommended as a general method ${ }_{\text {En }}^{\text {Activate }}$ Setinos to ac

Wilson Score method: This has been suggested as an alternative method. It has been shown to be accurate for most parameter values.
It does not make the approximation as in the Ward method. Rather, it is a more complex method and involves solving a quadratic equation in p . The bounds are the roots of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |p-\hat{p}|=+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} \text {. The confidence interval on } \mathrm{p} \text { is } \\
& p=\frac{\hat{p}+\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}^{2}}{2 n} \pm z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}+\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}^{2}}{4 n^{2}}}}{1+\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}^{2}}{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

EXAMPLE: In a random sample of 85 automobile engine crankshafts bearings, 10 have a surface finish that is rougher than the specifications allow. Construct a $95 \%$ confidence interval for (p) using both the Wald method and the Wilson Score method.
Solution: $z_{\alpha / 2}=z_{0.025}=1.96, \left.\hat{p}=\frac{X}{n}=\frac{10}{85}=0.12 \right\rvert\,$
Wald Method:
$P\left\{\hat{p}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} \leq p \leq \hat{p}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}\right\}=1-\alpha$
$P\left\{0.12-1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.12(1-0.12)}{85}} \leq p \leq 0.12+1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.12(1-0.12)}{85}}\right\}=0.95 \Rightarrow P\{0.05 \leq p \leq 0.19\}=0.95$
Wilson Score Method
$p=\frac{\hat{p}+\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}^{2}}{2 n} \pm z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}+\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}^{2}}{4 n^{2}}}}{1+\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}^{2}}{n}}=\frac{0.12+\frac{(1.96)^{2}}{2(85)} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.12(1-0.12)}{85}+\frac{(1.96)^{2}}{4(85)^{2}}}}{1+\frac{(1.96)^{2}}{85}}$
$P\{0.101 \leq p \leq 0.1719\}=0.95$ Tighter upper and lower bounds

